

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Serial No.:

10/770,714

Filing Date:

02/03/2004

Applicant:

Barr, et al.

Entitled:

TORQUE GUARANTEE SYSTEM AND METHOD

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8 (A)

Date of Deposit:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, Box Non-Fee Amendment, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Jódy K/Prince

Sir:

### **INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 CFR §§ 1.97-1.98**

As authorized and encouraged under 37 CFR §§ 1.97-1.98 and the provisions of MPEP §§ 609 and 707.05 (b), Applicant(s) submits herewith certain patent references, publications and/or other information which the Patent and Trademark Office may wish to consider in examining the above-identified patent application. The references and information are listed below and on attached form PTO-1449.

**U.S. PATENTS** 

U.S. PATENT NUMBER	INVENTOR(S)
6,289,770	Collins
5,645,208	Haytayan
4,907,730	Dion
4,813,492	Biek
4,078,618	DePagter et al.
3,252,641	Ciechanowski
3,194,324	Langas
2,569,244	Larson
2,409,397	Sheehan et al.

### **FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

COUNTRY	PATENT NO.	INVENTOR(S)
NONE		

### OTHER DOCUMENTS

#### 1. NONE.

A copy of each document is included for the express purpose of providing the Patent and Trademark Office with ample opportunity to evaluate the same and arrive at an independent assessment of the materiality of each, if any, to the examination of the above-identified application.

In reviewing the enclosed copies of the above documents, the Examiner is instructed to ignore any underscoring or highlighting which may have been done because such markings may or may not have any relationship to the subject matter of the above-

identified application. The copies being submitted with this Information Disclosure Statement are the best copies available at this time.

The identification of any document herein is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that each such document, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law.

Applicant(s) respectfully requests that the documents cited herein be made of record in the normal manner and that such documents appear on the printed patent as being considered and made of record.

By:

Jeffrey S. Standley

Registration No.: 34,021 Standley Law Group LLP

Respectfully submitted,

495 Metro Place South, Suite 210

Dublin, Ohio 43017-5315 Telephone: (614) 792-5555

Facsimile: (614) 792-5536

# NIM & TRACEMENT &

# FORM PTO-1449 TO BE FILED WITH INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

-10EX		
S. Department of Commerce	Atty. Docket No. HON 1448-048	Serial No. <u>10/770,714</u>
Patent and Trademark Office		
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT	Barr, et al. Applicant	
BY APPLICANTS	02/03/2004	
	Filing Date	Group Art Unit
	·	
	Exa	aminer's name

### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initial	Document Number	Date	Name	Class/Sub-
Illitiai				class
	6,289,770	9/2001	Collins	81/57.39
	5,645,208	7/97	Haytayan	227/8
	4,907,730	3/90	Dion	227/8
	4,813,492	3/89	Biek	173/2
	4,078,618	3/78	DePagter et al.	173/12
	3,252,641	5/66	Ciechanowski	227/8
	3,194,324	7/65	Langas	173/2
	2,569,244	9/51	Larson	81/54
	2,409,397	10/46	Sheehan et al.	121/35

### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initial	Document Number	Date	Country/Name	Translation? yes/no
	NONE			

### OTHER DOCUMENTS

1. None.

Examiner	Date Considered

Examiner: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

The identification of any document herein is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that each such document, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law since, for example, a given document may have a later effective date than at first seems apparent or the document may have an effective date which can be antedated. The "prior art" status of any document is a matter to be resolved during prosecution.